



## Girişimcilik Eğilimini Etkileyen Faktörlere İlişkin Çok Boyutlu Bir Analiz: Orta Öğretimde Bir Araştırma\*

\*\* ve Serhat ULUCAN\*\*\*

### Öz

*Girişimcilik, ülkemlerin gelişiminde, dengeli bir kalkınma süreci izlenmesinde, toplumların refahının artmasında ve yaşam standartlarının yükselmesinde önemli bir yere sahiptir. Bu bakımdan toplumu oluşturan bireylerin girişimcilik eğilimlerinin ve bu eğilimleri etkileyen faktörlerin tespit edilmesi, toplumların girişimcilik potansiyelleri hakkında bizlere bilgi verebilir ve sonraki çalışmalar için yol gösterebilir. Bireylerin, azimli ve rekabetçi olmaları, yemi iş kurmalarındaki karşılaşıkları güçlükler, yenilikçi ve yaratıcı özellikleri, riskten kaçınma dereceleri, ailelerinin verdikleri destekler, azim ve kararlılıklar ile demografik özellikleri girişimcilik eğilimlerinin eyleme geçmesinde etkili olacaktır. Bu çalışmaya, Ankara ilinde, farklı ilçelerden seçilmiş çeşitli liselerde okuyan son sınıf öğrencilerin girişimcilik eğilimlerini, girişimcilik eğilimlerini etkileyen faktörleri tespit etmek amaçlanmıştır. Ayrıca girişimcilik eğilimi kapsamında; cinsiyet, öğrencilerin öğrenim gördükleri okullar ve girişimcilik dersi alma durumuna ilişkin hipotezler oluşturulup test edilmiş ve sonuçları değerlendirilmiştir. Elde edilen sonuçlar neticesinde, öğrencilerin girişimcilik eğilimi açısından cinsiyetin etkisinin olmadığı tespit edilmiştir.*

*ğrenim gördükleri okullar ile girişimcilik eğilimi arasında ise anlamlı bir fark bulunamamıştır. En son olarak lise öğreniminde girişimcilik dersi almanın, bireylerin girişimcilik eğilimine pozitif yönde katkı sağladığı tespit edilmiştir.*

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Girişimcilik, Girişimcilik Eğilimi, Girişimcilik Eğitimi.

---

\*

h

agoksel@gazi.edu.tr ; aykut.goksel@hbv.edu.tr

\*\*\*

27.09.2018  
Kabul Tarihi/Accepted:14.03.2019

---

**A Multidimensional Analysis on the Factors Affecting Entrepreneurial Tendency: A Research on High School Students at the Secondary Education****Abstract**

*Entrepreneurship has an important role in the development of countries, increasing the wealth and life standards of societies. In this case, establishing the entrepreneurship tendency of people and the affecting the factors of this tendency would give us specified information about entrepreneurship potential of societies. Moreover, it would guide the other researches on this topic. To competitiveness, difficulties of starting up a new firm, innovative and creative features, the degree of avoiding risk, family support, decisiveness, need of success and demographic characteristics of individuals would be effective on the action of entrepreneurship. With this study, it is aimed to determine the entrepreneurship tendencies and the factors affecting the entrepreneurship tendencies of senior students in various high schools selected from different districts in Ankara. Also within the scope of entrepreneurship tendency; hypothesis about gender, the schools where students learn and take the course of entrepreneurship were created and tested and last the results were evaluated. The results obtained put forward that there is no gender effect in terms of the entrepreneurship tendency of the students. There is no significant difference between the tendency of entrepreneurship and the schools where students were educated. Finally, it is found that taking entrepreneurship lessons in high school education contributes to the entrepreneurship tendency positively.*

**Keywords:** *Entrepreneurship, Entrepreneurship Tendency, Entrepreneurship Education.*

**Giriş**

ara ili, Etimesgut,

an

### **Kuramsal Çerçeve**

#### **Girişimcilik ve Girişimcilik Eğilimi**

1988:11). Hisrich (1985) ise

1999:4).

1999:147, 322). Bu

2005:43).

hayata

2000: 86-

(Mueller ve Anisya, 2001: 51-

2006: 168-181).

olur (Demircan, 2000: 24).

durumu olarak ifade etmektedir (Brockhaus ve Horwitz, 1986: 25).

---

### Girişimciliğin Ekonomik ve Sosyal Kalkınma Açılarından Önemi

Fin

ilik

2013).

e

72).

2005:

2000: 389).

### **Girişimcinin Özellikleri**

10).

(1980)  
(bireycilik-

ele alarak

-

George ve Zahra, 2002) (Hisrich  
kabul g

**Tablo 1.**

<b>Nitelik</b>	<b>Araştırmacılar</b>
	Herron,1992; Geisler,1993; Drucker, 1998b; Daft,2005;
Risk alan	Geisler,1993; Johnson ve Hayes,1996; Drucker,1998; 2005; Hewison/Badger, 2006, Shapero,
	Luchsinger/Bagby,1987; Herron,1992; Hisrich vd.,2005; Hitt vd.,2005
	Corbett/Hmieleski, 2005; Hitt vd.,2005
	Daft,2005; Hitt vd.,2005; Hewison/Badger,2006
	Hitt vd.,2005
Proaktiflik	Hisrich vd.,2005
	Daft,2005
Duygusal Zeka	Hitt vd.,2005
	Luttunen,2000
	Luttunen,2000
	Levenburg, 2006
	Casson, 2003

**Kaynak:** 2011; Bayraktar S., 2011; Arthur S.J., Hisrich R.D.2011;  
., vd. vd.

### Türkiye'de Girişimcilik Eğitimi

siyasi ve ekonomik

---

Strateji Belgesin

-2018). Ancak

55-

i olan

Socrat

82-

-2013), Milli

bireysel stratejik pl

-2016, 2013: 83).

**Tablo 2.**

<b>Yazarlar</b>	<b>Yıl</b>	<b>Başlığı</b>
Nuray Girginer,	2004	
Nuray Atsan	2006	Entrepreneurial Characteristic amongst University Students: Some Insights for Entrepreneurship Education and Training in Turkey (Makale).
	2007	
	2008	
Muhsin Halis	2008	
er	2009	
	2009	
Hatice Baysal,	2009	(Bildiri).
Yasin Karadeniz	2010	
N.Yelkikalan, Ayten Akatay,	2010	
	2010	Bir Uygulama (Makale).
Mehmet Karahan	2010	

Yazarlar	Yılı	Başlığı
	2011	
Bozkurt	2011	
	2011	Gender, Business Education, Family Background and Personal Traits; a Multi Dimensional Analysis of Their Affects on Entrepreneurial Propensity: Findings from Turkey (Makale).
Seda Bayrakdar	2011	
Cengiz Duran,	2013	
Ali Murat Alparslan	2013	
	2017	The Effect of Entrepreneurship Education on Entrepreneurial Intention: An Experimental Study on Undergraduate Business Students (Makale).

**Kaynak:**

*Girişimcilik ve Kalkınma Dergisi*,  
(8:1), s.13-

55-58).

---

## Araştırma

### Araştırmanın Amacı ve Yöntemi

urma

yararla

---

### Anakütle ve Örneklem

29.04.2015),

-2015; 125).

Altun

**Tablo 3:**

Sıra No	Okul Adı	İlçe	Değerlendirilen Anket	Kategori Dışı	Boş	Toplam
1	Anadolu Lisesi	Mamak	49	5	0	54
2	Anadolu Lisesi	Etimesgut	97	2	0	99
3	Lisesi	Yenimahalle	84	6	1	91
4	Anadolu Lisesi	Etimesgut	68	9	0	77
5	Lisesi	Mamak	79	20	0	99
6	Dr. Binnaz Ege - Anadolu Lisesi		27	3	0	30
7	Lisesi	Yenimahalle	25	3	0	28

Sıra No	Okul Adı	İlçe	Değerlendirilen Anket	Kategori Dışı	Boş	Toplam
8	Lisesi		26	0	0	26
9	Ankara Fen Lisesi		68	3	0	71
10	Fen Lisesi		79	7	0	86
11	ve Meslek Lisesi	Mamak	75	7	0	82
12	Meslek Lisesi		97	2	0	99
13	Lisesi	Mamak	79	16	1	96
14	Teknik ve Meslek Lisesi	Yenimahalle	65	12	8	85
15	Suzan-Mehmet Meslek Lisesi	Mamak	119	9	1	129
16	Balgat Teknik ve Lisesi		99	10	1	110
17	Mamak Anadolu	Mamak	84	16	0	100
18	Hatip Lisesi	Mamak	69	5	0	74
19	Mamak Anadolu	Mamak	27	3	0	30
20	Hatip Lisesi	Etimesgut	115	8	6	129
<b>Toplam</b>			<b>1431</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1595</b>

**Not:**

---

**Analizler ve Bulgular**

**Doğrulayıcı Faktör Analizi**

Bartlett

etmektedir.

olma,

k

zi (DFA)

seviye ve tek fak

---

2011; 57). Analiz sonucunda

**Tablo 4:**

MODEL	$\chi^2$	df	$\chi^2/df$	RMSEA	CFI	GFI	AGFI
	3547,825	1027	3,455	0,041	0,819	0,901	0,887

$\Delta\chi^2$ : Ki kare(CMIN) df: Degrees of Freedom; RMSEA:Root Mean Square Error of Approximation;CFI:Comparative Fit Index; GFI:Goodness of Fit Index;AGFI:Adjusted Goodness Fit Index.

#### Örneklem Giriþimcilik Eğilim Düzeyleri

**Tablo 5:**

Girişimcilik Eğilimi Faktörleri	Ortalama	Standart sapma
	3,5990	,69600
	2,9810	,78970
Yenilik Yaratma	3,3205	,90595
<b>Riskten Kaçınma</b>	<b>2,6791</b>	<b>,81528</b>
	2,7927	,65792
<b>Aile desteği</b>	<b>3,6935</b>	<b>,95190</b>
	3,6338	,93947
	3,4875	,66981
	3,6518	,81837
	2,7701	,71243
<b>Girişimcilik Eğilimi</b>	<b>3,2609</b>	<b>,45432</b>

**Girişimcilik Eğiliminin Cinsiyete Göre Farklılığı**

vd., 2013; 118).

*H1a: Girişimcilik eğilimi, cinsiyete göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

*H1b: Girişimcilik eğilimini etkileyen faktörler, cinsiyete göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

**Tablo 6:**

<b>Değişkenler</b>	<b>t istatistik</b>	<b>Serbestlik Derecesi</b>	<b>Anlamlılık Derecesi</b>	<b>Ortalama Fark</b>
	1,119	1429	,263	,04130
	-,016	1416,714	,987	-,00068
Yenilik Yaratma(f3)	-1,577	1418,837	,115	-,07526
Riskten Kaçınma(f4)	<b>-3,641</b>	<b>1429</b>	<b>,000</b>	<b>-,15680</b>
Kendi İşini Kurma Fırsatı(f5)	<b>-3,999</b>	<b>1429</b>	<b>,000</b>	<b>-,13885</b>
Aile desteği(f6)	<b>3,576</b>	<b>1429</b>	<b>,000</b>	<b>,17988</b>
Hümanizm(f7)	<b>2,023</b>	<b>1429</b>	<b>,043</b>	<b>,10073</b>
	,164	1429	,869	,00582
	1,384	1429	,167	,06007
	-1,402	1429	,160	-,05297
	-,152	1429	,879	-,00368

yoktur.

**Tablo 7:**

	Cinsiyet		Ortalama	Standart Sapma	Standart Ortalama
Olma(f1)		775	3,6179	,71685	,02575
	Erkek	656	3,5766	,67039	,02617
Yenilik Yaratma(f3)		775	2,9806	,81607	,02931
	Erkek	656	2,9813	,75799	,02959
		775	3,2860	,93872	,03372
	Erkek	656	3,3613	,86457	,03376
		775	2,6072	,80736	,02900
	Erkek	656	2,7640	,81702	,03190
		775	2,7290	,65328	,02347
	Erkek	656	2,8679	,65595	,02561
		775	3,7759	,95662	,03436
	Erkek	656	3,5960	,93772	,03661
		775	3,6800	,93879	,03372
	Erkek	656	3,5793	,93807	,03663
		775	3,4901	,68531	,02462
	Erkek	656	3,4843	,65153	,02544
		775	3,6794	,81616	,02932
	Erkek	656	3,6193	,82040	,03203
		775	2,7458	,72399	,02601
	Erkek	656	2,7988	,69799	,02725
		775	3,2592	,46666	,01676
	Erkek	656	3,2629	,43965	,01717

### Girişimcilik Eğiliminin Öğrencilerin Öğrenim Gördükleri Okullara Göre Farklılığı

*H2a: Girişimcilik eğilimi, öğrencilerin öğrenim gördükleri okullara göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

*H2b: Girişimcilik eğilimini etkileyen faktörler, öğrencilerin öğrenim gördükleri okullara göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

**Tablo 8:**

	Test of Homogeneity of Variances		ANOVA		Welch Brown-Forsythe	
	Levene	Derecesi		Derecesi	Derecesi	
Olma(f1)	1,719	,143	2,148	,073	-	
<b>Yeni iş Kurma Zorluğu(f2)</b>	,330	,858	5,013	<b>,001</b>	-	
<b>Yenilik Yaratma(f3)</b>	1,497	,201	3,067	<b>,016</b>	-	
<b>Riskten Kaçınma(f4)</b>	3,506	,007	-	-	<b>,000</b>	<b>,001</b>
	1,494	,201	2,178	,069	-	
	4,550	,001	-	-	,881	,892
	2,592	,035	-	-	,314	,308
<b>Başarı İhtiyacı(f8)</b>	1,229	,296	2,720	<b>,028</b>	-	
	3,181	,013	-	-	,066	,046
<b>Dışsal Kontrol Odağı(f10)</b>	,974	,421	7,271	<b>,000</b>	-	
	2,713	,029	-	-	,093	,086

---

Levene

-Forsythe

yoktur (Tablo 8).

**Tablo 9:**

Faktör	Değişkenler	N	Ortalama	Standart Sapma	Standart Hata
<b>Yeni İş Kurma Zorluğu (f2)</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	<b>3,0658</b>	,78648	,04162
		295	<b>2,8271</b>	,77714	,04525
	Fen Lisesi	110	<b>3,0909</b>	,81888	,07808
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	2,9573	,77788	,03507
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	<b>3,0636</b>	,79584	,05982
	Toplam	1431	2,9810	,78970	,02088
<b>Yenilik Yaratma (f3)</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	3,2138	,88476	,04683
		295	3,3605	,95990	,05589
	Fen Lisesi	110	<b>3,1758</b>	,90046	,08586
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	3,3672	,88114	,03972
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	<b>3,4294</b>	,90604	,06810
	Toplam	1431	3,3205	,90595	,02395

Faktör	Değişkenler	N	Ortalama	Standart Sapma	Standart Hata
<b>Riskten Kaçınma (f4)</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	<b>2,8034</b>	,82720	,04378
		295	<b>2,5207</b>	,76404	,04448
	Fen Lisesi	110	2,6709	,85867	,08187
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	2,6821	,77144	,03478
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	2,6893	,92242	,06933
	Toplam	1431	2,6791	,81528	,02155
<b>Başarı İhtiyacı (f8)</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	<b>3,3886</b>	,66955	,03544
		295	3,5157	,69098	,04023
	Fen Lisesi	110	<b>3,5597</b>	,59080	,05633
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	<b>3,5186</b>	,65749	,02964
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	3,5085	,70082	,05268
	Toplam	1431	3,4875	,66981	,01771
<b>Dışsal Kontrol Odağı (f10)</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	<b>2,8852</b>	,70137	,03712
		295	2,6723	,72150	,04201
	Fen Lisesi	110	<b>2,5727</b>	,75099	,07160
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	2,7473	,67689	,03052
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	<b>2,8870</b>	,74118	,05571
	Toplam	1431	2,7701	,71243	,01883
<b>Girişimcilik Eğilimi</b>	Meslek Lisesi	357	3,2677	,48576	,02571
		295	3,2267	,47342	,02756
	Fen Lisesi	110	<b>3,2004</b>	,38356	,03657
	Anadolu Lisesi	492	3,2642	,41539	,01873
	Ticaret Meslek Lisesi	177	<b>3,3324</b>	,49336	,03708
	Toplam	1431	3,2609	,45432	,01201

### **Girişimcilik Eğiliminin Öğrencilerin Girişimcilik Dersi Alma Durumuna Göre Farklılığı**

*H3a: Girişimcilik eğilimi, öğrencilerin girişimcilik dersi alma durumuna göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

*H3b: Girişimcilik eğilimini etkileyen faktörler, öğrencilerin girişimcilik dersi alma durumuna göre anlamlı farklılık göstermektedir.*

**Tablo 10:**

<b>Değişkenler</b>	<b>t istatistik</b>	<b>Serbestlik Derecesi</b>	<b>Anlamlılık Derecesi</b>	<b>Ortalama Fark</b>
	1,025	1359	,306	,05232
	1,130	1359	,259	,06603
Yenilik Yaratma(f3)	1,066	1359	,287	,07196
	1,556	1359	,120	,09349
	1,868	1359	,062	,09077
	,206	1359	,837	,01456
<b>Hümanizm(f7)</b>	<b>2,044</b>	<b>1359</b>	<b>,041</b>	<b>,14157</b>
	,578	1359	,564	,02857
	1,129	1359	,259	,06795
<b>Dışsal Kontrol Odağı(f10)</b>	<b>2,604</b>	<b>285,864</b>	<b>,010</b>	<b>,14736</b>
<b>Girişimcilik Eğilimi</b>	<b>2,323</b>	<b>1359</b>	<b>,020</b>	<b>,07746</b>

fark yoktur (Tablo 10).

**Tablo 11:**

	Cinsiyet		Ortalama	Standart Sapma	Standart Ortalama
		775	3,6179	,71685	,02575
	Erkek	656	3,5766	,67039	,02617
		775	2,9806	,81607	,02931
	Erkek	656	2,9813	,75799	,02959
Yenilik Yaratma(f3)		775	3,2860	,93872	,03372
	Erkek	656	3,3613	,86457	,03376
		775	2,6072	,80736	,02900
	Erkek	656	2,7640	,81702	,03190
		775	2,7290	,65328	,02347
	Erkek	656	2,8679	,65595	,02561
		775	3,7759	,95662	,03436
	Erkek	656	3,5960	,93772	,03661
		775	3,6800	,93879	,03372
	Erkek	656	3,5793	,93807	,03663
		775	3,4901	,68531	,02462
	Erkek	656	3,4843	,65153	,02544
		775	3,6794	,81616	,02932
	Erkek	656	3,6193	,82040	,03203
		775	2,7458	,72399	,02601
	Erkek	656	2,7988	,69799	,02725
		775	3,2592	,46666	,01676
	Erkek	656	3,2629	,43965	,01717

**Sonuç ve Değerlendirme**

ir. Toplumsal

lere paralel olarak

---

## **Extended Summary**

### **Introduction**

The aim of this study is to investigate the entrepreneurial tendencies of high school 3 and 4th grade students in Ankara, to determine the factors affecting these trends and to examine the effects of family environment, personal characteristics, age, gender, demographic characteristics and their effects on these factors. This research; (20 in total), 1431 high schools from 3 rd and 4 th grade in Anatolian High School, Imam Hatip High School, Science High School, Commerce Vocational High School, Technical and Industrial Vocational High School and Girls Vocational High School face-to-face survey method.

### **Theoretical Framework**

#### **Entrepreneurship and Entrepreneurship Tendency**

Schumpeter, who is one of the most important specialists in the definition of entrepreneurship, is one of the first researchers to emphasize the concept of "innovation". Entrepreneurship is defined as innovation activities bearing economic value for business purposes (Brockhaus and Horwitz, 1986: 25). Hisrich (1985) described entrepreneurship as "a process and this process is getting money considered in this framework, it seems that the initiator's "need for success" dimension has been emphasized. According to Frank Knight, entrepreneurialism is inherently subject to real uncertainty as well as undertaking certain risks (Praag and Mirjam, 1999: 147, 322). When dealt with in this framework, the "risk taking" dimension of the entrepreneur seems to be emphasized. One of the most important factors affecting being an entrepreneur is the family factor. The main motivational factors of the way the family is raised by the family since childhood, the family support in their decisions, and the entrepreneurial endorsement of the perception of one's entrepreneurship by the family. There are various opinions in the literature on internal and external control orientation. Brockhaus and Horwitz control focus (Locus of Control); (Brockhaus and Horwitz, 1986: 25). This is the situation in which people perceive events that occur in their lives or their consequences as self-control or outside their control.

---

### **The Importance of Entrepreneurship in terms of Economic and Social Development**

The place of entrepreneurship in economic development is directly related to the existence and qualities of the entrepreneurial ecosystem in the economy in which it operates. The concept of entrepreneurial ecosystem is often used in economies where the entrepreneurial phenomenon has developed, but it is the answer to the question "How is an entrepreneurial economy?" In terms of content. The innovation element at the core of entrepreneurship requires a development for human resources to be used at an adequate level, which promotes the social level of society (education, culture, language, etc.).

The researchers used religion, race, social environment, social prejudices, historical conditions, ethnic structure, and so on. (eg Verheul, 2003), which are factors that affect entrepreneurship. Max Weber first mentioned the role of non-profit factors in entrepreneurship. The social aspect of Weber's model is defined as the "Protestant Work Ethic". From here it is considered as an important variable in explaining cultural interventional activities.

#### **Characteristics of Entrepreneur**

There are various opinions about the characteristics of entrepreneurs. These qualities can be distinguished according to their qualities by birth or later acquirement or by psychological and social based perspectives.

#### **Entrepreneurship Education in Turkey**

Although not widespread entrepreneurship education has been given secondary and higher education in Turkey.

Turkey has added Leonardo Da Vinci and Socrates programs which European Union opened to increase professional education, the amount of qualified

people from different age 2006:82-  
83).

Entrepreneurship-oriented EU projects are carried out in primary and secondary schools (Turkey Entrepreneurship Strategy and Action Plan 2014-2016, 2013: 83).

---

## Research

### **Purpose and Method of Research**

The main purpose of this study is; selected high school students; to find out whether they have entrepreneurial tendencies at different levels, to identify the factors that affect entrepreneurial tendencies, and if there are factors that affect the tendency of entrepreneurship, it is a more meaningful difference and to investigate the relation between the prominent demographics and these factors.

competitiveness, the difficulty of establishing new business, innovation, risk avoidance, opportunity and work, to establish self-employment, family support and McClelland's need for achievement factor scale published scale were used.

### **Population and Sample**

The research was conducted in the form of questionnaire form for the students of 3 rd grade (934 students) and 4 th grade (497 students) in 7 Anatolian High Schools, 3 Science High Schools, 4 Imam Hatip High Schools and 6 Vocational High Schools. Within the scope of the study, a total of 1595 questionnaires were distributed to high school students in 3rd and 4th grade in different high schools and 1431 questionnaires were included in the evaluation.

### **Analyzes and Findings**

According to the results of the analysis made to determine the adequacy of the sample included in the study; The KMO value was found to be 0.821. The fact that the BMD test is close to 1 indicates that the survey represents the main mass. The significance of the Bartlett test is  $p = 0.00$ , indicating that the sample is adequately tested and that significant factors can be obtained from the research data.

Cronbach's Alpha value was calculated to be 0.856 according to the scale of the questionnaires of the questionnaires affecting the entrepreneurship tendency of the research. This value indicates that your scale is reliable.

---

### **Entrepreneurship Trends in the Sample**

The average of the whole sample is high in terms of Perseverance and Competitiveness, Challenge of Establishing New Business, Innovation Creation, Family Support, Humanism, Success Needs and Internal Control Orientation and the average is low in terms of Risktan Avoidance, Self Establishment Opportunity, External Control Locality . The lowest average is found in the Risktan Avoidance factor (2.67) and the highest average is in the Family Support factor (3.69). It is seen that the sample has an average of 3.26 and a standard deviation of 0.45432 in terms of entrepreneurial propensity level.

### **Differences of Entrepreneurship Trend by Gender**

Hypotheses to be tested to determine the sex-specific differences in entrepreneurial tendencies and the factors that influence entrepreneurial tendencies are as follows;

*H1a: Entrepreneurship tendency differs according to sex.*

*H1b: Factors affecting entrepreneurship tendency differ according to sex.*

There is no statistically significant difference between entrepreneurship tendency and gender.

### **Differences in Entrepreneurship Trends by Schools**

The hypotheses to be tested to determine the differences in entrepreneurial propensity and entrepreneurial tendencies of the schools compared to the schools where the students have studied are as follows;

*H2a: Entrepreneurship tendency differs according to the schools in which the students have studied.*

*H2b: Factors influencing entrepreneurship tendency differ according to the schools in which the students have studied.*

There is no statistically significant difference between the entrepreneurial tendency and the Schools of the Students.

### **Difference of Entrepreneurship Trend by Students' Entrepreneurship Course Status**

The hypotheses to be tested to determine the differences in entrepreneurial propensity and entrepreneurial tendencies in order to determine the difference of students according to the level of entrepreneurship lesson taking are as follows;

*H3a: The entrepreneurship tendency differs according to the entrepreneurship lessons of the students.*

*H3b: Factors affecting the tendency of entrepreneurship differ according to the entrepreneurship lessons of the students.*

There is a statistically significant difference between entrepreneurship tendency and entrepreneurship lesson taking.

### **Conclusion**

The lack of gender influence in terms of the entrepreneurship tendency of the students may have influenced the necessity of the place of the woman in the economic role in society and the idea of providing financial freedom against the male. When we look at the relationship between the schools where pupils are taught and the entrepreneurial tendencies, there is no significant difference between entrepreneurship tendencies and the schools where pupils have studied. But it was observed that the average of the entrepreneurial tendency of the "Vocational High School" students was higher than the "Imam Hatip High School" students. Entrepreneurship tendency was found to be higher in the students who took entrepreneurship lessons than those who did not.

In future work; the relationship between entrepreneurship tendencies and cultural phenomena which can give free soul and self-confidence to individuals, and the way of thinking and discussion about entrepreneurship should be analyzed.

### **Kaynakça**

### **Kitaplar**

*Sosyal Bilimlerde*

*Araştırma Yöntemleri.* Sakarya: Sakarya Kitabevi, 125.

---

Ankara:

*Siyasal Kitabevi.*

*Detay Yayıncılık  
Sosyal Bilimlerde SPSS'le veri analizi.*

*İstanbul: İGİAD Yayınları.*

, Milli Prodüktivite Merkezi  
Yayınları No:697, Ankara.

Schumpeter, (1973). Competition and Entrepreneurship, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago

### **Makaleler**

*Girişimcilik ve*

*Kalkınma Dergisi, 3(2), 133-147.*

*İktisadi ve İdari*

*Bilimler Dergisi, Cilt 12, Sayı 1.*

, I. Uluslararası Davraz

*Kongresi.*

Ankara: Milli

Eğitim Bakanlığı EARGED,

*İş Fikirleri Dergisi, 2000 (12), 86-94.*

*Girişimcilik ve*

*Kalkınma Dergisi, 8(1), 10.*

Brockhaus, R. H. Horwitz, P. S.(1986). The Psychology of The Entrepreneur, The Art and Science of Entrepreneurship. Massachusset: Bollinger Publishing Company, 25.

Bir Uygulama. *Yayınlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Gebze Yüksek Teknoloji Üniversitesi SBE, Kocaeli, 24.*

*KOSGEB GGM Ulusal Girişimcilik*

*Raporu Bölüm II, 38.*

Dollinger, M. J.(1999). Entrepreneurship. Prentice Hall, 4.

*Girişimcilik ve Kalkınma Dergisi, 8(2), 33-56.*

Gartner, W. B.(1988). Who is an Entrepreneur? Is The Wrong Question. *American Journal of Small Business, 12(4), 11.*

*3.Ulusal Bilgi, Ekonomi ve Yönetim Kongresi.*

Gender, Business Education, Family Background and Personal Traits; A Multi Dimensional Analysis of Their Effects On Entre  
*, International Journal of Business and Social Science, Vol:2, No:13, July 2011, (35-48)*

Hayton, J.C., George, G., ve Zahra, S.A. (2002). National culture and entrepreneurship: A review of behavioral research. *Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice, 26, 33–52.*

Hisrich R., Langan-Fox J. ve Grant S. (2007), *Entrepreneurship research and practice: a call to action for psychology. Am Psychol. Sep;62(6):575-89*

Uygulama, *Alanya İşletme Fakültesi Dergisi, 2(1), 53-74.*

-  
*Doğu Anadolu Bölgesi Araştırmaları, 72.*

*Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi*

*Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, 2009/2(10),*

Education on Entrepreneurial Intention: An Experimental Study on Undergraduate Business Students., *Journal of Management Research.*, 9(3), 73-91.

,  
[http://vizyon21yy.com/documan/Egitim\\_Ogretim/Onemli\\_Gunler\\_Kuruluslar/Girisimcilik\\_Haftasi/Turkiyede\\_Girisimcilikv\\_Egitimi.pdf](http://vizyon21yy.com/documan/Egitim_Ogretim/Onemli_Gunler_Kuruluslar/Girisimcilik_Haftasi/Turkiyede_Girisimcilikv_Egitimi.pdf),  
(12.01.2013).

---

*Milli Eğitim*

*İstatistikleri Örgün Eğitim 2014-2015.* Ankara, 125.

-2016 (2013,Taslak), 83.

Verheul, I.(2003). An Eclectic Theory of Entrepreneurship: Policies, Institutions and Culture. *SME's in the Age of Globalization*, Ed. David B. Audretsch, Northampton: An Elgar Reference Collection.

*KMÜ Sosyal ve Ekonomik Araştırmalar*

*Dergisi*,12(19), 52-58.

*Akademik Bakış*

*Dergisi, Sayı (26), 1-20.*

Yonca,G.,Atsan,N.(2006). Entrepreneurial Characteristic amongst University Students:Some Insights for Entrepreneurship Education and Training in Turkey

## İnternet

Financial Times: *Definition of Entrepreneurial Ecosystem.*  
<http://lexicon.ft.com/term?term=entrepreneurial-ecosystem> adresinden

<http://ankara.meb.gov.tr/www/egitim-istatistikleri/icerik/24> adresinden 29.04.2015

<http://www.turkiyegirisimcileri.org/sayfalar/sayfa/params/tip-sayfa/id-2/mevzuat.html> adresinden 15 Mart